



Progesterone significantly enhances the mobility of boar spermatozoa

Johan M. Campbell, Anne L. Savage, Oladipo Madamidola, Kshitij Tamhane, Renalyn Soriano, Ashok K. Adya, Sean G. Brown*

School of Science, Engineering & Technology, University of Abertay Dundee, Bell Street, Dundee, UK, DD11HG

Abstract

Progesterone released from the cumulus cells of the oocyte causes a number of physiological responses in human sperm cells including hyperactivation, acrosome reaction and chemotaxis. We employed a validated sperm mobility assay, which involves measuring the ability of sperm to penetrate an inert cell separation solution over time, to assess the ability of progesterone to enhance the mobility of boar spermatozoa. Cells maximally penetrate the solution over 50 minutes. 100nM progesterone significantly ($P = 0.01$) increased the mobility of non-capacitated sperm cells causing a doubling in the rate at which the cells penetrated through the cell separation solution (control half maximal penetration rate [K_m] = 18.0 ± 2.2 ; +100nM progesterone $K_m = 8.8 \pm 0.8$ min). Similarly, capacitated cells penetrated at a rate ($K_m = 19.2 \pm 3.0$ min) not significantly different from non-capacitated cells and 100nM progesterone also significantly increased the rate of penetration of capacitated cells ($K_m = 9.5 \pm 1.0$ min, $P < 0.05$). The T-type voltage gated calcium channel blocker mibepradil (30mM) significantly inhibited both the control and progesterone enhanced mobility in non-capacitated and capacitated sperm. Only capacitated cells showed a significant increase in the acrosome reaction in response to 100nM progesterone (control non-reacted = $75 \pm 4\%$, +100nM progesterone non-reacted = $47 \pm 10\%$). Western blot analysis confirmed that there was an increase in the total protein tyrosine phosphorylation levels in capacitated cells. In conclusion, we have demonstrated that 100nM progesterone accelerates the mobility of boar sperm cells through an inert cell separation solution in an extracellular calcium dependent manner.

Citation: Campbell JM, Savage AL, Madamidola O, Tamhane K, Soriano R, Adya AK et al. Progesterone significantly enhances the mobility of boar spermatozoa. *BioDiscovery* 2013; **9**: 5; DOI: 10.7750/BioDiscovery.2013.9.5

Copyright: © 2013 Campbell et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original authors and source are credited.

Received: 25 November 2013; **Accepted:** 9 December 2013; **Available online/Published:** 11 December 2013

Keywords: sperm, progesterone, mobility, hyperactivation, boar, motility

***Corresponding Author:** S. G. Brown, e-mail: sean.brown@abertay.ac.uk

Conflict of Interests: No potential conflict of interest was disclosed by any of the authors.

Introduction

Progesterone is released from cumulus cells surrounding the oocyte and has been demonstrated to act as a chemoattractant for human and rabbit sperm [1-4]. This chemoattractant property is thought to be necessary in order to guide the sperm to the oocyte, although appears to only occur in capacitated cells [5, 6]. The pattern of response of human sperm cells to progesterone has been suggested to involve a change in motility parameters with

both positive and negative effects on hyperactivation depending on the temporal and spatial exposure [4, 7, 8]. At a molecular level progesterone induces a rapid rise in intracellular calcium [9, 10] that is due to activation of a sperm specific calcium ion channel, CatSper [11, 12]. Progesterone has also been demonstrated to induce a rapid rise in intracellular calcium in sperm in other species [13-15]. However, the percentage of sperm cells

undergoing the acrosome reaction also increases with exposure to increasing concentrations of progesterone [16-19]. Prematurely acrosome reacted sperm are unable to fertilise although this may serve to select against inferior sperm [20].

Studies examining the effects of progesterone on boar sperm cells have largely focussed on its effects on the acrosome reaction [21-23]. However, high (micromolar) concentrations have been reported to increase motility [24] and intracellular calcium levels [14] in capacitated cells. Generally, computer assisted sperm analysis (CASA) is employed to examine sperm motility. Changes in motility are examined by taking brief (approximately 1s) recordings followed by objective mathematical analysis of various motility parameters [25]. However there is little information as to how sperm respond in the presence of a chemoattractant over an extended period of time or if responsiveness correlates to a change in distance travelled. Here we used a simple mobility assay [26] to determine the effects of progesterone on the mobility (i.e. swim rate in a constant gradient) of non-capacitated and capacitated boar sperm cells over time.

Material and Methods

Semen supply

Semen was supplied commercially by The Pig Improvement Company (PIC) from their stock of boars (PIC337 Champion species). Semen was diluted 1:1 upon collection into TriXCell (IMV International, Minneapolis, MN, USA) semen extender according to the manufacturer instructions. Semen was delivered overnight in flatpacks at ambient temperature. Upon receipt, the diluted semen was then aliquoted into 15ml polypropylene centrifuge tubes and stored at 16°C in accordance with the manufacturer instructions until use. Assays were completed within 2 days of delivery. No information is available regarding their fertility.

Vitality test

Vitality testing using propidium iodide (PI) staining based on the method by Pintado and colleagues [27] was conducted on each batch of semen before conducting mobility assays. The final concentration of PI was 5 µg ml⁻¹ when added to aliquot of cells in extender. The cells were incubated at room temperature for 5 min before being in 4% paraformaldehyde and placed between a glass slide and coverslip for cell counting under fluorescent microscope. A minimum of 200 cells were counted and non viable, membrane damaged cells showed clear fluorescence. Batches showing greater than 10% non viable cells were not used.

Acrosome staining

The acrosome status of sperm was investigated using the method of He *et al* [28]. Briefly, sperm cells were fixed and permeabilised in absolute ethanol. Fluoresceine isothiocyanate-labelled pisum sativum agglutinin (FITC-PSA) at 0.1mg/ml was used to determine acrosomal status. A minimum of 200 cells were counted per replicate and at least 4 replicates were analysed per reaction condition.

Mobility assay solutions

The mobility assay was based on the validated method of Vizcarra & Ford [26]. Two solutions were used to make up the final Accudenz solution for the mobility assay; the stock accudenz solution and mobility buffer that were mixed (1:5) to form a working Accudenz solution that was used for the spectrophotometric analysis of sperm mobility. A stock Accudenz (Gentaur Ltd, London, UK) solution (pH 7.4) was prepared which consisted of 30% Accudenz (w/v), 3mM KCl and 5mM TES (N-tris[hydroxymethyl] methyl-2 aminoethansulfonic acid). The mobility buffer (pH 7.4) consisted of 111mM NaCl, 25mM glucose, 4mM CaCl₂ and 50mM TES. On a daily basis a working Accudenz (6% w/v) solution was made and aliquoted into 1.5ml polystyrene cuvettes and stored in the fridge at 4°C until used for the assay. For experiments involving progesterone and/or mibefradil, these compounds were added to the working Accudenz solution prior to adding to cuvettes.

Mobility assay

Cuvettes containing the working Accudenz solution were pre-warmed to 37°C. Data presented in each figure were derived using a split-ejaculate method such that cells under control and treatment conditions were taken from the same insemination dose. 1×10⁸ live sperm cells (in maximally 150µl) were carefully pipetted onto the surface of the Accudenz solution and the change in absorbance (550nm) over time was monitored using a spectrophotometer. This treatment is hereafter called the mobility control. A negative control was prepared by first heating 1×10⁸ sperm cells at 60°C for 60 minutes. A positive control was prepared by mixing 1×10⁸ cells with 1.5ml working Accudenz solution prior to loading into a cuvette. Progesterone was added to the working Accudenz solution to a final concentration of 1, 10 or 100nM and used to monitor sperm penetration rate in response to progesterone concentration. Absorbance readings were recorded at time intervals over 50 minutes (1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40 and 50 minutes) and cuvettes were incubated at 37°C for the duration of this time period.

To construct data sets within a figure, multiple runs were taken from an individual boar sample and multiple boars were used as indicated in the figure legends. A total of 17 different boars were used by the end of the study.

Capacitating conditions

Capacitating media (CM) was composed of 5mM KCl, 1mM KH_2PO_4 , 95mM NaCl, 5.55mM glucose, 25mM NaHCO_3 , 2mM CaCl_2 , 0.4% BSA and 2.5mM pyruvate (pH 7.4). Non-capacitating media (NCM) lacked calcium, bicarbonate and BSA and consisted of 2.7mM KCl, 1.5mM KH_2PO_4 , 8.1mM Na_2HPO_4 , 137mM NaCl, 5.55mM glucose and 2.5mM pyruvate (pH 7.4). 1×10^8 cells were pelleted and resuspended in 1ml of either CM or NCM and incubated at 37°C for 3hours.

Following capacitation the samples were pelleted, resuspended in mobility buffer and the mobility assay ran as described for non-capacitated cells.

SDS-PAGE and Western Blotting

1×10^8 cells were incubated in NCM or CM for 3 hours, pelleted at $17000 \times g$ then heated at 95°C in Laemmli sample buffer and frozen for subsequent use in SDS-PAGE. Sperm proteins were separated on 10% polyacrylamide gels and transferred to PVDF membranes by electrophoresis. The membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with anti phospho-tyrosine primary antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology, UK) at 1:20 000 dilution in Tris-buffered saline (25mM Tris-HCl pH7.4, 150mM NaCl) containing 0.1%(v/v) Tween 20 and 5%(w/v) bovine serum albumin. The detection method involved the use of a WesternDot™625 western blot kit in accordance with the manufacturer instructions (Life Technologies, UK) and imaging with a Biorad Gel Doc XR system.

Data analysis

Data represented mean \pm SEM for four ejaculates measured in quadruplet (Graphpad Prism). Curves are fitted using non-linear regression model and K_m values (minutes) represent the time at which absorbance was half maximal (Graphpad Prism). $P < 0.05$ is taken as statistically significant.

To test for differences in the rate of mobility between

control and progesterone treated sperm cells data were first transformed to linearize then an ANCOVA was carried out on regression plots of the linearized data using R (open source statistical software <http://www.r-project.org/>). R version 2.15.1 (2012-06-22) "Roasted Marshmallows". Copyright 2012 The R Foundation for Statistical Computing). Data were linearized using $\ln(y) = m * \ln(x) + \ln(c)$, where y = mean absorbance and $x = 1/\text{time (min)}$. The effect of mibefradil on sperm mobility was analysed using ANOVA followed by Tukeys post-hoc test for three time points (10, 20 and 50 minutes).

Chemicals and reagents

Unless stated all chemicals and reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, UK.

Mibefradil was obtained from Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, UK.

Results

Non-capacitated boar sperm penetrated the working Accudenz solution with a $K_m = 18.0 \pm 2.2$ min. Accudenz solutions containing increasing concentrations of progesterone (1, 10, 100nM) were prepared in order to monitor a change in mobility induced by this putative chemoattractant. Only 100nM progesterone treatment produced a significant increase in sperm mobility as measured by an increase in absorption over time in the sperm mobility assay (Figure 1). Analysis of the progesterone effect using ANCOVA required linearised plots so data from figure 1 was first transformed ($\ln(y) = m * \ln(x) + \ln(c)$ where y = mean absorbance and $x = 1/\text{time (min)}$) and the gradient and intercept of the regression lines were then compared. The independent variable in the regression analysis was $\ln(1/\text{time})$, the dependent variable was $\ln(\text{mean absorbance})$ and the factor was +/-progesterone treatment. Initial ANCOVA analysis revealed that there was no significant interaction between progesterone treatment and time ($p = 0.76$) and




Figure 1. Progesterone accelerates the mobility of non-capacitated boar sperm. Under control conditions sperm penetrate the Accudenz solution with a $K_m = 18.0 \pm 2.2$ min (mobility control, 18 replicates). Sperm penetrate at a significantly ($P=0.01$) enhanced rate through the Accudenz solution containing 100nM progesterone (+100nM progesterone; $K_m = 8.8 \pm 0.8$ min, 20 replicates). Data sets are analysed as described in methods and results and are an average of 4 ejaculates from different boars with pooled replicates as indicated. Positive and negative control data sets are an average of 4 ejaculates measured in quadruplicate.

therefore progesterone treatment could be analysed for its effect alone on mobility. For non-capacitated sperm, the intercepts of the regression lines were significantly higher in the presence of 100nM progesterone. The intercept of the regression line for hormone treatment was -3.32 and for controls, the intercept was -3.49, ($F= 8.997$, $p= 0.01$). This result indicates progesterone significantly increases the rate of penetration of non-capacitated cells. Indeed 100nM progesterone caused over a two-fold increase in the rate of penetration rate ($K_m = 8.0 \pm 0.8$ min). There were no significant differences between the gradients for 100nM progesterone treated and control sperm for either capacitated (see below) or non-capacitated sperm indicating that there was no interaction between hormone treatment and time and that the relationship between absorbance and time could be modelled by a simple additive regression model without variable interaction.

CatSper is sensitive to the Ca_v blocker mibepradil [12]. Therefore, we examined the ability of this T-type calcium channel blocker to inhibit basal and progesterone-stimulated mobility. ANCOVA analysis revealed that the gradients of the regression line for non-capacitated and capacitated cells were significantly different ($P<0.05$) between control and mibepradil treatments suggesting an interaction between the factor i.e. treatment (+/-mibepradil) and the covariate ($\text{Ln}(1/\text{time})$) in addition to the main effect of the drug. Therefore these data were analysed using ANOVA followed by Tukeys post-hoc test for comparison of the effect of drug concentrations. Sperm failed to penetrate Accudenz solution containing 30 μ M mibepradil suggesting a complete abolition of mobility and hence the finding with the ANCOVA analysis. Statistical analysis indicated that penetration was significantly lower when compared to control from 10 minutes onward ($P<0.05$ at 10 minutes; Figure 2). At a concentration of 3 μ M, a significant difference was seen




Figure 2. Mibepradil inhibits the mobility of non-capacitated boar sperm. Under control conditions sperm penetrate the Accudenz solution with a $K_m = 20.9 \pm 3.5$ min (mobility control, 14 replicates). From 10 minutes onwards mibepradil (30 μ M) containing Accudenz solution significantly ($P<0.05$ at 10 minutes) inhibits cell mobility (17 replicates). Data are analysed using ANOVA followed by Tukeys post-hoc test. Data sets are an average of 4 ejaculates from different boars with pooled replicates as indicated. Positive and negative control data sets are an average of 4 ejaculates measured in quadruplicate.

only after 50 minutes (Figure 2 and 3; $P<0.001$), suggesting a slower rate of penetration. Progesterone (100nM) could not reverse the mibepradil (30 μ M) mediated inhibition of mobility ($P<0.001$; Figure 3).

Capacitation is associated with alterations of the membrane of sperm [29] and may enhance sensitivity to progesterone [4]. Therefore we sought to repeat the experiments using capacitated boar sperm. Capacitated sperm cells penetrated the Accudenz solution with a K_m value (19.2 ± 3.0 min) that was not significantly different from non-capacitated cells. As with non-capacitated cells only 100nM progesterone caused a significant increase in cell mobility. For capacitated sperm ANCOVA analysis revealed again there was no significant interaction between treatment and time ($p=0.35$). Following ANCOVA analysis of the data as described above, the intercept of the regression line for 100nM progesterone treatment was -2.98 and for the controls the intercept was -3.33, ($F= 5.75$, $p= 0.03$) with the time taken to reach half maximal absorbance decreasing approximately two-fold in the presence of the hormone ($K_m = 9.5 \pm 1.0$ min; Figure 4). 30 μ M mibepradil significantly reducing the ability of the sperm to penetrate the accudenz solution from 20 minutes onwards ($P<0.05$ at 20 minutes; Figure 5 and 6) and progesterone could not reverse this inhibition of mobility of capacitated cells (Figure 6).

Progesterone (100nM) induced the acrosome reaction in significantly greater number of cells in capacitated cells only, although approximately half of the population remained unreacted (Figure 7). Finally, the capacitation status of the cells was confirmed by assessing the total protein tyrosine phosphorylation status (Figure 8).




Figure 3. Progesterone (P) does not recover the mibepradil mediated inhibition of mobility of non-capacitated boar sperm cells. Maximum absorbance values at 50 minutes are given for sperm penetrating the Accudenz solution only (mobility control, 14 replicates) or containing mibepradil (M, +3/30 μ M, 19 and 17 replicates respectively) or 30 μ M mibepradil and 100nM Progesterone (+30 μ M M + 100nM P, 26 replicates). Data are analysed using ANOVA followed by Tukeys post-hoc test. Asterisks (*** $P<0.001$) indicate significantly different from mobility control. Data sets are an average of 4 ejaculates from different boars with pooled replicates as indicated.




Figure 4. Progesterone accelerates the mobility of capacitated boar sperm. Under control conditions sperm penetrate the Accudenz solution with a $K_m = 19.2 \pm 3.0$ min (mobility control, 16 replicates). Sperm penetrate at a significantly ($P=0.03$) enhanced rate through the Accudenz solution containing 100nM progesterone (+100nM progesterone; $K_m = 9.5 \pm 1.0$ min, 20 replicates). Data sets are analysed as described in methods and results and are an average of 4 ejaculates from different boars with pooled replicates as indicated. Positive and negative control data sets are an average of 4 ejaculates measured in quadruplicate.




Figure 5. Mibefradil inhibits the mobility of capacitated boar sperm. Under control conditions sperm penetrate the Accudenz solution with a $K_m = 23.0 \pm 4.9$ min (mobility control, 19 replicates). Mibefradil (30 μ M) containing Accudenz solution significantly inhibits cell mobility from 20 minutes onwards ($P<0.05$ at 20 minutes, 21 replicates). Data are analysed using ANOVA followed by Tukeys post-hoc test. Data sets are an average of 4 ejaculates from different boars with pooled replicates as indicated. Positive and negative control data sets are an average of 4 ejaculates measured in quadruplicate.




Figure 6. Progesterone (P) does not recover the mibefradil mediated inhibition of mobility of capacitated boar sperm cells. Maximum absorbance values at 50 minutes are given for sperm penetrating the Accudenz solution only (mobility control, 19 replicates) or containing mibefradil (M, +3/30 μ M, 14 and 21 replicates respectively) or 30 μ M mibefradil and 100nM Progesterone (+30 μ M M + 100nM P, 20 replicates). Data are analysed using ANOVA followed by Tukeys post-hoc test. Asterisks ($P<0.001$) indicate significantly different from mobility control. Data sets are an average of 5 ejaculates from different boars with pooled replicates as indicated. Positive and negative control data sets are an average of 4 ejaculates measured in duplicate.




Figure 7. Effects of progesterone (P) on the acrosome reaction in non-capacitated and capacitated boar sperm cells. Cells were either left in non-capacitating or capacitating media for 3 hours followed by incubation with 100nM progesterone. Progesterone significantly increases the percentage of boar sperm cells undergoing spontaneous acrosome reaction. Data are analysed using paired students t-test.

** indicates significantly different from capacitated cells ($P<0.05$).




Figure 8. Sperm capacitation is associated with changes in protein phosphotyrosine content. Western blot demonstrating the change in protein phosphotyrosine pattern induced by capacitation of sperm from 4 different ejaculates from consecutive weeks from different boars. 1×10^8 sperm cells were incubated in either non-capacitating or capacitating conditions for 3 hours and subsequently pelleted at $17000 \times g$ then heated at 95°C in Laemmli sample buffer and frozen for subsequent use in SDS-PAGE/Western blot. Lanes 1&5, 2&6, 3&7 and 4&8 are paired.

Discussion

Progesterone has been demonstrated to induce a number of calcium dependent mechanisms in sperm including hyperactivation [7, 30], protein tyrosine phosphorylation [31, 32], acrosome reaction [33] and chemotaxis [34]. Calcium influx through the CatSper ion channel is likely to contribute to all of these processes [35-40] and recently progesterone has been demonstrated to directly activate this channel in human, but not mouse sperm [11, 12]. The characterisation of the effects of progesterone on boar sperm has been limited. Here we describe for the first time that progesterone can stimulate the mobility of both non-capacitated and capacitated boar sperm cells.

Previous studies examining the effect of progesterone

on human sperm cell motility have typically involved the use of CASA systems and report significant effects on hyperactivation [4, 7] that are probably linked to its chemotactic properties [8]. Capacitated boar sperm respond in a complex manner to a high concentration of progesterone but are clearly sensitive to this hormone and remain responsive over time [24]. The threshold sensitivity of boar sperm to progesterone has not been established; however in this study we show that 100nM progesterone significantly increases the rate of penetration in both non-capacitated and capacitated cells. This is notably higher than the picomolar levels that can stimulate human sperm activity [8, 34]. However due to the limitation of the mobility assay we would not expect to be able to demonstrate such sensitivity. Nevertheless, this concentration is well below the levels of progesterone seen in porcine follicular fluid regardless of season [41] suggesting that this response is likely to have physiological relevance.

As prematurely acrosome reacted sperm cannot undertake fertilisation [42] and progesterone, at micromolar concentrations, has previously been reported to cause the acrosome reaction in capacitated boar sperm [21, 22] we sought to assess if the enhanced swim rate would be compromised by a parallel increase in the acrosome reaction. We demonstrated that the acrosome reaction is increased in the population of capacitated cells treated with 100nM progesterone. Although this may suggest a compromise in function, approximately 50% of cells remain acrosome intact and it has been suggested that a hypersensitivity to progesterone may select against inferior sperm [20]. Therefore, we suggest that based on our experiments, cells that are responsive to progesterone and remain acrosome intact have a selective advantage for successfully winning the race to fertilise the egg.

The pattern of response to progesterone of boar sperm cells is interesting due to the molecular mechanism by which progesterone has been proposed to act in stimulating sperm motility. Progesterone potentiates CatSper-mediated currents in human but not mouse sperm, with the constitutive activity of CatSper being much higher in the latter species. CatSper is conserved between species [43] and has been cloned from pigs [44]. Therefore, it is likely to contribute to sperm movement in this species. We demonstrated that, as is similar to human sperm cells, the mobility of boar sperm cells is sensitive to progesterone and that this is a calcium dependent mechanism due to the ability of mibefradil to abolish progesterone-enhanced movement. This suggests the presence of a functional CatSper-like channel with properties analogous to that in human cells. In contrast, the ability of mibefradil to abolish basal mobility suggests a high degree of constitutive activity of this CatSper-like channel in boar sperm cells which is analogous to CatSper in mice cells. However, this clearly requires further investigation as the presence of different T-type voltage gated calcium channels or involvement of other calcium-permeable ion channels in boar sperm mobility cannot yet be ruled out [45, 46].

In summary, for the first time we have demonstrated that progesterone can sustainably increase the mobility of boar sperm cells in an extracellular calcium dependent manner and that the increased distance travelled with time presents a selective advantage for cells that remain acrosome intact.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank The Carnegie Trust for the Universities of Scotland for the studentship award for JMC which permitted her to complete her work.

References

1. Teves ME, Barbano F, Guidobaldi HA, Sanchez R, Miska W, Giojalas LC. Progesterone at the picomolar range is a chemoattractant for mammalian spermatozoa. *Fertil Steril* 2006; **86**: 745-749.
2. Guidobaldi HA, Teves ME, Unates DR, Anastasia A, Giojalas LC. Progesterone from the cumulus cells is the sperm chemoattractant secreted by the rabbit oocyte cumulus complex. *PLoS One* 2008; **3**: e3040.
3. Oren-Benaroya R, Orvieto R, Gakamsky A, Pinchasov M, Eisenbach M. The sperm chemoattractant secreted from human cumulus cells is progesterone. *Hum Reprod* 2008; **23**: 2339-2345.
4. Gakamsky A, Armon L, Eisenbach M. Behavioral response of human spermatozoa to a concentration jump of chemoattractants or intracellular cyclic nucleotides. *Hum Reprod* 2009; **24**: 1152-1163.
5. Cohen-Dayag A, Ralt D, Tur-Kaspa I, Manor M, Makler A, Dor J, Mashiach S, Eisenbach M. Sequential acquisition of chemotactic responsiveness by human spermatozoa. *Biol Reprod* 1994; **50**: 786-790.
6. Cohen-Dayag A, Tur-Kaspa I, Dor J, Mashiach S, Eisenbach M. Sperm capacitation in humans is transient and correlates with chemotactic responsiveness to follicular factors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1995; **92**: 11039-11043.
7. Uhler ML, Leung A, Chan SY, Wang C. Direct effects of progesterone and antiprogesterone on human sperm hyperactivated motility and acrosome reaction. *Fertil Steril* 1992; **58**: 1191-1198.
8. Armon L, Eisenbach M. Behavioral mechanism during human sperm chemotaxis: involvement of hyperactivation. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**: e28359.
9. Harper CV, Barratt CL, Publicover SJ. Stimulation of human spermatozoa with progesterone gradients to simulate approach to the oocyte. Induction of $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ oscillations and cyclical transitions in flagellar beating. *J Biol Chem* 2004; **279**: 46315-46325.
10. Publicover S, Harper CV, Barratt C. $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ signalling in sperm--making the most of what you've got. *Nat Cell Biol* 2007; **9**: 235-242.
11. Lishko PV, Botchkina IL, Kirichok Y. Progesterone activates the

principal Ca^{2+} channel of human sperm. *Nature* 2011; **471**: 387-391.

12. Strunker T, Goodwin N, Brenker C, Kashikar ND, Weyand I, Seifert R et al. The CatSper channel mediates progesterone-induced Ca^{2+} influx in human sperm. *Nature* 2011; **471**: 382-386.
13. Kobori H, Miyazaki S, Kuwabara Y. Characterization of intracellular Ca^{2+} increase in response to progesterone and cyclic nucleotides in mouse spermatozoa. *Biol Reprod* 2000; **63**: 113-120.
14. Kim JC, Li Y, Lee S, Yi YJ, Park CS, Woo SH. Effects of cryopreservation on Ca^{2+} signals induced by membrane depolarization, caffeine, thapsigargin and progesterone in boar spermatozoa. *Mol Cells* 2008; **26**: 558-565.
15. Noguchi T, Fujinoki M, Kitazawa M, Inaba N. Regulation of hyperactivation of hamster spermatozoa by progesterone. *Reprod Med Biol* 2008; **7**: 63-74.
16. Cheng FP, Gadella BM, Voorhout WF, Fazeli A, Bevers MM, Colenbrander B. Progesterone-induced acrosome reaction in stallion spermatozoa is mediated by a plasma membrane progesterone receptor. *Biol Reprod* 1998; **59**: 733-742.
17. Sirivaidyapong S, Bevers MM, Colenbrander B. Acrosome reaction in dog sperm is induced by a membrane-localized progesterone receptor. *J Androl* 1999; **20**: 537-544.
18. Patrat C, Serres C & Jouannet P. The acrosome reaction in human spermatozoa. *Biol Cell* 2000; **92**: 255-266.
19. Therien I, Manjunath P. Effect of progesterone on bovine sperm capacitation and acrosome reaction. *Biol Reprod* 2003; **69**: 1408-1415.
20. Pizzari T. Of mice and sperm. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006; **103**: 14983-14984.
21. Jang S, Yi LS. RU486 suppresses progesterone-induced acrosome reaction in boar spermatozoa. *J Biochem Mol Biol* 2002; **35**: 604-608.
22. Jang S, Yi LS. Identification of a 71 kDa protein as a putative non-genomic membrane progesterone receptor in boar spermatozoa. *J Endocrinol* 2005; **184**: 417-425.
23. Wu JT, Chiang KC, Cheng FP. Expression of progesterone receptor(s) during capacitation and incidence of acrosome reaction induced by progesterone and zona proteins in boar spermatozoa. *Anim Reprod Sci* 2006; **93**: 34-45.
24. Ramío L, Rivera MM, Ramírez A Concha II, Peña A, Rigau T et al. Dynamics of motile-sperm subpopulation structure in boar ejaculates subjected to "in vitro" capacitation and further "in vitro" acrosome reaction. *Theriogenology* 2008; **69**: 501-512.
25. Mortimer ST. A critical review of the physiological importance and analysis of sperm movement in mammals. *Hum Reprod Update* 1997; **3**: 403-439.
26. Vizcarra JA, Ford JJ. Validation of the sperm mobility assay in boars and stallions. *Theriogenology* 2006; **66**: 1091-1097.
27. Pintado B, de la Fuente J, Roldan ER. Permeability of boar and bull spermatozoa to the nucleic acid stains propidium iodide or Hoechst 33258, or to eosin: accuracy in the assessment of cell viability. *J Reprod Fertil* 2000; **118**: 145-152.
28. He L, Bailey JL, Buhr MM. Incorporating lipids into boar sperm decreases chilling sensitivity but not capacitation potential. *Biol Reprod* 2001; **64**: 69-79.
29. Abou-haila A, Tulsiani DR. Signal transduction pathways that regulate sperm capacitation and the acrosome reaction. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 2009; **485**: 72-81.
30. Fujinoki M. Suppression of progesterone-enhanced hyperactivation in hamster spermatozoa by estrogen. *Reproduction* 2010; **140**: 453-464.
31. Tesarik J, Moos J, Mendoza C. Stimulation of protein tyrosine phosphorylation by a progesterone receptor on the cell surface of human sperm. *Endocrinology* 1993; **133**: 328-335.
32. Bonaccorsi L, Krausz C, Pecchioli P, Forti G, Baldi E. Progesterone-stimulated intracellular calcium increase in human spermatozoa is protein kinase C-independent. *Mol Hum Reprod* 1998; **4**: 259-268.
33. Moseley FL, Jha KN, Bjorndahl L, Brewis IA, Publicover SJ, Barratt CL et al. Protein tyrosine phosphorylation, hyperactivation and progesterone-induced acrosome reaction are enhanced in IVF media: an effect that is not associated with an increase in protein kinase A activation. *Mol Hum Reprod* 2005; **11**: 523-529.
34. Teves ME, Guidobaldi HA, Unates DR, Sanchez R, Miska W, Publicover SJ et al. Molecular mechanism for human sperm chemotaxis mediated by progesterone. *PLoS One* 2009; **4**: e8211.
35. Carlson AE, Westenbroek RE, Quill T, Ren D, Clapham DE, Hille B et al. CatSper1 required for evoked Ca^{2+} entry and control of flagellar function in sperm. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003; **100**: 14864-14868.
36. Carlson AE, Quill TA, Westenbroek RE, Schuh SM, Hille B, Babcock DF. Identical phenotypes of CatSper1 and CatSper2 null sperm. *J Biol Chem* 2005; **280**: 32238-32244.
37. Qi H, Moran MM, Navarro B, Chong JA, Krapivinsky G, Krapivinsky L et al. All four CatSper ion channel proteins are required for male fertility and sperm cell hyperactivated motility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007; **104**(4): 1219-1223.
38. Xia J, Reigada D, Mitchell CH, Ren D. CATS PER channel-mediated Ca^{2+} entry into mouse sperm triggers a tail-to-head propagation. *Biol Reprod* 2007; **77**: 551-559.
39. Xia J, Ren D. The BSA-induced Ca^{2+} influx during sperm capacitation is CATS PER channel-dependent. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2009; **1**: 119.
40. Xia J, Ren D. Egg coat proteins activate calcium entry into mouse sperm via CATS PER channels. *Biol Reprod* 2009; **80**: 1092-1098.
41. Bertoldo M, Holyoake PK, Evans G, Grupen CG. Follicular progesterone levels decrease during the period of seasonal infertility in sows. *Reprod Domest Anim* 2011; **46**: 489-494.
42. Brown J, Cebra-Thomas JA, Bleil JD, Wassarman PM, Silver LM. A premature acrosome reaction is programmed by mouse t haplotypes during sperm differentiation and could play a role in transmission ratio distortion. *Development* 1989; **106**: 769-773.
43. Cai X, Clapham DE. Evolutionary genomics reveals lineage-specific gene loss and rapid evolution of a sperm-specific ion channel complex: CatSper and CatSperbeta. *PLoS One* 2008; **3**: e3569.
44. Song C, Gao B, Wu H, Xie Y, Wang X, Li B et al. Molecular cloning, spatial and temporal expression analysis of CatSper genes in the Chinese Meishan pigs. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2011; **9**: 132.
45. Darszon A, Acevedo JJ, Galindo BE, Hernández-González EO, Nishigaki T, Treviño CL, et al. Sperm channel diversity and functional multiplicity. *Reproduction* 2006; **131**: 977-988.
46. Bernabo N, Pistilli MG, Mattioli M, Barboni B. Role of TRPV1 channels in boar spermatozoa acquisition of fertilizing ability. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2010; **323**: 224-231.